

Title	Brownian Motion on a Submanifold of Euclidean Space
Creators	Lewis, J. T.
Date	1984
Citation	Lewis, J. T. (1984) Brownian Motion on a Submanifold of Euclidean Space. (Preprint)
URL	<a href="https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/932/">https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/932/</a>
DOI	DIAS-STP-84-48

# Brownian Motion on a Submanifold of Euclidean Space

by

J.T. Lewis

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies  
10 Burlington Road  
Dublin 4, Ireland

## Abstract:

A martingale characterization of Brownian motion on a submanifold of Euclidean space is proved and the implications of the consequent martingale representation are discussed.

## §1. Introduction

Price and Williams [1] proved a martingale characterization of Brownian motion on the unit sphere  $S^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . They used the martingale representation which, by Jacod's theorem [2], is implied by martingale characterization, to prove a result on the structure of Brownian motion on  $S^2$ . Their martingale characterization was generalized to Brownian motion on a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in [3]: Let  $f$  be a real-valued  $C^2$ -function defined on an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; a process  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $f(X_0) = c$  is a Brownian motion on the hypersurface  $f^{-1}(c)$  if and only if  $X$  is a semimartingale such that

$$(i) \quad dX_t - \frac{(d-1)}{2} H(X_t) n(X_t) dt = dM_t, \text{ where } M \text{ is a continuous local martingale.}$$

$$(ii) \quad d\langle XX^T \rangle_t = P(X_t) dt.$$

Here  $H(x)$  is the mean curvature at  $x$ ,  $n(x)$  is the unit normal at  $x$  and  $P(x)$  is orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  onto the tangent space at  $x$ . In this note we prove a generalization of this result to a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of arbitrary co-dimension: a process  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which starts on a  $C^2$ -submanifold  $V$  is a Brownian motion on  $V$  if and only if  $X$  is a semimartingale such that

$$(i) \quad dX_t - j(X_t) dt = dM_t, \text{ where } M \text{ is a continuous local martingale.}$$

$$(ii) \quad d\langle XX^T \rangle_t = P(X_t) dt.$$

Here  $j(x)$  is the trace of one-half times the second fundamental form of the imbedding, and so is normal to the submanifold; the term  $-j(X_t)dt$  is the drift which is required to keep the process on the submanifold. This martingale characterization is proved in §3; the proof makes use of Baxendale's equation for Brownian motion on a sub-manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given in [4], and this is described in §2. In §4 we give a result which generalizes the theorem of Price and Williams [1] on the structure of Brownian motion on  $S^2$ .

## §2 Brownian Motion on a Submanifold

Let  $V$  be a  $C^2$ -submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ; we claim that a process  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which starts on  $V$  and satisfies

$$dX_t - j(X_t)dt = P(X_t)dB_t, \quad (2.1)$$

is a Brownian motion on  $V$ . Here  $B$  is a  $BM(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , a Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ;  $P(x)$  is orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on the tangent space  $T_x(V)$  to  $V$  at  $x$ , and  $j(x)$  is one-half times the trace of the second fundamental form of the imbedding evaluated at  $x$ . We have to show that  $X_t$  stays on  $V$  for all  $t > 0$  and that  $X$  is a diffusion whose generator is a constant multiple of the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  on  $V$ . Now these are all local matters, and it follows from the inverse function theorem that a  $C^2$ -submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is locally a level set  $f^{-1}(c)$  of some  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued  $C^2$ -function  $f$  defined on an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $r$  is the co-dimension of  $V$ , and such that the rank of the derivative  $f'(x)$  is equal to  $r$  for all  $x$  in  $f^{-1}(c)$ . It is enough then to establish our claim for a submanifold  $V$  which is a level set; in this case it is easy to define  $j(x)$  and  $P(x)$  on an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $f^{-1}(c)$ , the open set on which  $f'(x)$  has rank  $r$ , and (2.1) has meaning as an Itô equation on an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . To be precise, let  $P(y)$  be the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on  $E_y = \ker f'(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $W$ ; when  $x$  is in  $V$ , the subspace  $E_x$  coincides with  $T_x(V)$ , the tangent space to  $V$  at  $x$ . A vector field  $v$  defined on  $W$  is said to be a tangent vector field if  $v(y)$  belongs to  $E_y$  for each  $y$  in  $W$ ; it is said to be a normal vector field if  $v(y)$  belongs to  $E_y^\perp$  for all  $y$  in  $W$ . Given a pair  $v, w$  of  $C^1$ -tangent vector fields, we define a normal vector field  $s(v, w)$  by

$$s_y(v, w) = P^\perp(y)(v \cdot \text{grad } w)(y) \quad (2.2)$$

where  $P^\perp(y) = I - P(y)$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  on  $E_y^\perp$ . Then  $v, w \rightarrow s_y(v, w)$  is bilinear and symmetric; the restriction of  $s$  to  $V$  is the second fundamental form of the imbedding of  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Define the normal vector field  $j$  by

$$j(y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}_{E_y}(s_y). \quad (2.3)$$

which makes sense since  $s_y$  is a quadratic form on  $E_y$ .

Let  $\nabla$  be the differential operator defined on  $C^1$ -functions on  $W$  by

$$(\nabla g)(y) = P(y)(\text{grad } g)(y), \quad (2.4)$$

and let  $\Delta$  be the differential operator defined on  $C^2$ -functions on  $W$  by

$$(\Delta g)(y) = \text{trace}(P(y)(P(y) \text{grad } g)'(y)). \quad (2.5)$$

It is important to notice that both  $(\nabla g)(y)$  and  $(\Delta g)(y)$  depend only on the restriction of  $g$  to  $V$  and that  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivative on  $V$ , and  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $V$ . We shall require the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta g)(y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(P(y)g''(y)) + j(y) \cdot (\text{grad } g)(y). \quad (2.6)$$

A process  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which satisfies (2.1) is a diffusion since (2.1) is an Itô equation with continuous coefficients; to compute its generator, we apply Itô's formula to the process  $g(X)$  with  $g$  an arbitrary  $C^2$ -function:

$$dg(X_t) = (\text{grad } g)(X_t) \cdot dX_t + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(g''(X_t) d\langle XX^T \rangle). \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.1) that the bracket process  $\langle XX^T \rangle$  satisfies

$$d\langle XX^T \rangle_t = P(X_t)dt, \quad (2.8)$$

so that (2.7) becomes

$$dg(X_t) = (\text{grad } g)(X_t) \cdot P(X_t)dB_t + j(X_t) \cdot (\text{grad } g)(X_t)dt + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(P(X_t)g''(X_t))dt. \quad (2.9)$$

Using the identity (2.6) we have

$$dg(X_t) - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta g)(X_t)dt = dM_t, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $M$  is a continuous local martingale satisfying

$$dM_t = \text{grad } g(X_t) \cdot P(X_t)dB_t; \quad (2.11)$$

it follows from (2.10) that the generator of the diffusion  $X$  is  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ . Now let  $f_1(y), \dots, f_r(y)$  be the components of  $f(y)$  in some orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^r$ ; then applying (2.10) with  $g = f_j$  we have

$$df_j(X_t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad j=1, \dots, r, \quad (2.12)$$

since  $\text{grad } f_j(y)$  is orthogonal to  $E_y$ , so that  $dM_t = 0$  and  $(\Delta f_j)(y) = 0$  for all  $y$  in  $W$ . Hence  $X_t$  stays on  $V$  for all  $t > 0$  almost surely. This establishes the claim.

Now consider a  $C^1$ -distribution  $E$  of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We can define  $P, s, j$  and  $\Delta$  as before; the only difference is that  $s$  is symmetric if and only if  $E$  is involutive, where the bracket operation  $[,]$  on vector fields is defined by

$$[v, w](y) = (v \cdot \text{grad } w)(y) - (w \cdot \text{grad } v)(y). \quad (2.13)$$

Suppose that  $E$  is involutive; then, by the classical theorem of Frobenius (see [5], for example), there is a unique maximal integral manifold of  $E$  through each point and the above proof establishes the following proposition: Let  $E$  be an involutive  $C^2$ -distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $X$  be a process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $X_0 = x$  and

$$dX_t - j(X_t)dt = P(X_t)dB_t. \quad (2.14)$$

Then  $X$  is Brownian motion on the maximal integral manifold through  $x$  of  $E$ .

### §3 Martingale Characterization

The description of Brownian motion on a submanifold  $V$  given in §2 suggests the following Martingale Characterization of  $BM(V)$ :

A process  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  which starts on  $V$  is a  $BM(V)$  if and only if  $X$  is a semimartingale such that

- (1)  $dX_t - j(X_t)dt = dM_t$ , where  $M$  is a continuous local martingale.
- (2)  $d\langle XX^T \rangle_t = P(X_t)dt$ .

We have to show that if (1) and (2) hold, then there exists  $B$ , a  $BM(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that

$$dM_t = P(X_t)dB_t. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $\tilde{B}$  be a  $BM(\mathbb{R}^d)$  independent of  $X$ , so that

$$d\langle X\tilde{B}^T \rangle_t = 0, \quad d\langle \tilde{B}\tilde{B}^T \rangle_t = Idt, \quad (3.2)$$

and  $B$  be the process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $B_0 = 0$  and

$$dB_t = P(X_t)dX_t + P^\perp(X_t)d\tilde{B}_t. \quad (3.3)$$

Then it follows from (1), (2) and (3.2) that  $B$  is a continuous local martingale on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and

$$d\langle BB^T \rangle_t = Idt, \quad (3.4)$$

so that  $B$  is a  $BM(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, from (3.2) we have

$$P(X_t)dM_t = P(X_t)dB_t; \quad (3.5)$$

it remains to show that  $dM_t = P(X_t)dM_t$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the process on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given by  $\tilde{M}_0 = 0$  and

$$d\tilde{M}_t = P(X_t)dM_t; \quad (3.6)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle \tilde{M}\tilde{M}^T \rangle_t &= P^1(X_t) d\langle MM^T \rangle_t P^1(X_t) \\ &= P^1(X_t) P(X_t) P^1(X_t) dt = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

using (3.6) and (2), so that  $\tilde{M}$  is a continuous local martingale whose bracket process vanishes. It follows that

$$d\tilde{M}_t = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

so that

$$dM_t = P(X_t) dM_t \quad (3.9)$$

and the proof is complete.

#### §4 Martingale Representation

Let  $X$  be a Brownian motion starting at  $x$  on a level set  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $Y$  satisfy  $Y_0 = 0$  and

$$dY_t = P(X_t) dX_t, \quad (4.1)$$

so that  $dY_t$  is the tangential component of  $dX_t$ ; by (2.1) we have

$$dY_t = P(X_t) dB_t \quad (4.2)$$

so that  $Y$  is a continuous local martingale. Suppose now that  $\tilde{X}$  is a BM( $V$ ), starting at  $x$ , which is adapted to the filtration of  $X$ ; let  $\tilde{Y}$  satisfy  $Y_0 = 0$  and

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = P(\tilde{X}_t) d\tilde{X}_t. \quad (4.3)$$

Then we have the following

Martingale Representation: The processes  $Y$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  are related by the Itô equation

$$d\tilde{Y}_t = C_t dY_t, \quad (4.4)$$

where

(i) for each  $t$ ,  $C_t$  is an orthogonal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$C_t P(X_t) C_t^T = P(\tilde{X}_t). \quad (4.5)$$

(ii) the process  $C$  is  $X$ -predictable.

Let  $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$  be an orthonormal set of normal vector fields on  $V$ ; let  $\{b^1, \dots, b^r\}$  be a set of independent BM( $\mathbb{R}^1$ )-processes independent of both  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$ . Then, by the argument in §3, the processes  $B$  and  $\tilde{B}$  such that  $B_0 = \tilde{B}_0 = 0$  and

$$dB_t = dY_t + \sum_{j=1}^r n_j(X_t) db^j, \quad d\tilde{B}_t = d\tilde{Y}_t + \sum_{j=1}^r n_j(\tilde{X}_t) d\tilde{b}^j \quad (4.6)$$

are both BM( $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) and  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  satisfy

$$dX_t - j(X_t) dt = P(X_t) dB_t, \quad d\tilde{X}_t - j(\tilde{X}_t) dt = P(\tilde{X}_t) d\tilde{B}_t. \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{B}$  is  $B$ -predictable so that, by the martingale representation theorem for BM( $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), there exists a  $B$ -predictable process  $C$  of orthogonal transformation on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$d\tilde{B}_t = C_t dB_t. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence, from (4.6), we have

$$C_t dY_t + \sum_{j=1}^r C_t n_j(X_t) db^j = d\tilde{Y}_t + \sum_{j=1}^r n_j(\tilde{X}_t) d\tilde{b}^j; \quad (4.9)$$

forming the bracket process of both sides with  $b^k$  we have

$$C_t n_k(X_t) dt = n_k(\tilde{X}_t) dt, \quad k=1, \dots, j, \quad (4.10)$$

which establishes (4.5). By subtraction we have  $d\tilde{Y}_t = C_t dY_t$ , establishing (4.4). It follows from (4.5) that  $C$  is  $X$ -predictable.

Remark:

For a hypersurface we have  $r=1$  and then  $x \mapsto n(x)$  is the Gauss map of the hypersurface. When the hypersurface is the unit sphere  $S^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the normal subspace  $P^\perp(X_t)\mathbb{R}^3$  is spanned by the vector  $X_t$  and we recover the theorem of Price and Williams [1] as a special case.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Michiel van den Berg and Paul McGill for many instructive conversations and especially to thank Paul McGill for help with §4.

References

- [1] G.C. Price, D. Williams: Rolling with 'Slipping': I. Sém. Prob. Paris XVII Lect. Notes in Maths. 986, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1983.
- [2] J. Jacod: a general theorem of representation for martingales Probability (ed. J.L. Doob) Proc. Symp. Pure Math. A.M.S. XXXI (1977) 37-54.
- [3] M. van den Berg, J.T. Lewis: Brownian Motion on a Hypersurface Bull. London Math. Soc. Bull. London Math. Soc. 17 (1985) 144-1
- [4] P.H. Baxendale: Wiener processes on manifolds of maps Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 87A (1980) 127-152.
- [5] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu: Foundations of Differential Geometry Vol. 1 New York and London: Interscience Publishers 1963.