



Title The renormalization group in curved space

Creators O'Connor, Denjoe and Stephens, C. R.

Date 1992

Citation O'Connor, Denjoe and Stephens, C. R. (1992) The renormalization group in curved

space. (Preprint)

URL https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/738/

DOI DIAS-STP-92-37

92-37 Doc

Class. Quantum Grav. 10 (1993) S241-S242. Printed in the UK

nsity

nsity erse/ it to

tical le of e by

ıtion

ecay

the

of its

th is

tion

uid,

:ude

und ions

of:

mits

ver,

inot

thin

1 of

ory

ield: sica.

hys.

·ley)

y of

## The renormalization group in curved space

Denjoe O'Connor† and C R Stephens‡

† DIAS, 10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland

‡ Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universiteit Utrecht, 3508 TA Utrecht, Netherlands

Abstract. The general features of the renormalization group (RG) in curved space are discussed. An explicit construction of such a group for Euclidean  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory with O(n)internal symmetry group on  $R^{4-d} \times S^d$ , where  $d \leq 4$  and  $S^d$  has radius a, is given. This RG is generated by an infinitesimal generator which implements a coarse graining of the true degrees of freedom of the system. Thus it is more analogous to the block spin and lattice decimation RGs of statistical mechanics. Contrary to standard formulations of the RG in curved space which emphasize the ultraviolet physics it is explicitly a dependent.

There has been a large apparent discrepency between the RG viewed as a 'coarse graining', e.g. decimation or block spinning, and the RG used in field theory. This is due to the fact that the standard field theoretic RG concentrates on the ultraviolet properties of field theory. This is a reasonable approach for massless field theory in flat space where no physical length scale is preferred. In this case the distinction between the lattice and field theoretic RGs disappears for scales much larger than the lattice spacing. However, when an additional length scale enters, such as on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ [1], where L is the size of the  $S^1$ , then the natural RG is L dependent. As the scale of interest is much larger or smaller than L the RG is either that characteristic of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ or  $\mathbb{R}^4$  respectively. In a curved space setting the curvature is a natural length scale hence one would expect the RG equations to depend on it. We demonstrate that this is indeed the case by examining conformally coupled O(n)  $\lambda \phi^4$  theory on  $R^{4-d} \times S^d$ (in the case of non-conformal coupling only  $m_a$  below changes). For  $<\phi>=0$ , we employ the normalization conditions

$$\lambda = Z_{\phi}^{2} \int \Gamma_{B}^{(4)}(x, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \sqrt{g_{1}} dx_{1} \sqrt{g_{2}} dx_{2} \sqrt{g_{3}} dx_{3} \Big|_{m_{a} = \kappa}$$

$$1 = Z_{\phi} Z_{\phi^{2}} \int \Gamma_{B}^{(2,1)}(x, x_{1}, x_{2}) \sqrt{g_{1}} dx_{1} \sqrt{g_{2}} dx_{2} \Big|_{m_{a} = \kappa}$$

$$\epsilon^{2} = Z_{\phi} \int \Gamma_{B}^{(2)}(x, x_{1}, x_{2}) \sqrt{g_{1}} dx_{1} \sqrt{g_{2}} dx_{2} \Big|_{m_{a} = \kappa}$$

$$\kappa^2 = Z_\phi \int \Gamma_B^{(2)}(x,x_1) \sqrt{g_1} dx_1 |_{m_a = \kappa}$$

where  $m_a$  is the renormalized mass parameter. The renormalized physical mass in

$$M_{a}^{2} = \frac{\int G(x_{1}, x_{2}) \sqrt{g_{1}} dx_{1}}{\int G(x_{1}, x_{2}) \sigma^{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \sqrt{g_{1}} dx_{1}}$$

Class. Qu

where  $\sigma$  is the geodetic interval.  $M_a$  is an RG invariant. Wavefunction renormalization is then naturally defined by requiring

$$\frac{\int G\left(x_{1},x_{2}\right)\sigma^{2}\left(x_{1},x_{2}\right)\sqrt{g_{1}}dx_{1}}{\left(\int G\left(x_{1},x_{2}\right)\sqrt{g_{1}}dx_{1}\right)^{2}}|_{m_{a}=\kappa}=1$$

 $\lambda$ ,  $Z_{\phi}$  and  $Z_{\phi^2}$  are independent of x due to the symmetries of the space. The above correspond to the usual definitions in a flat space setting and in the absence of loop corrections agree with the free field parameters in the Lagrangian, up to numerical factors.

With these definitions the RG equation for  $\Gamma^{(N)}$  is

$$\left(\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} + \beta \left(h, \kappa a\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial h} + \gamma_{\phi^{2}} \left(h, \kappa a\right) m_{a}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{a}^{2}} - \frac{N}{2} \gamma_{\phi} \left(h, \kappa a\right)\right) \Gamma^{(N)} = 0$$

and implements a course graining of the true degrees of freedom. To 2-loops

$$\beta(h) = -\epsilon(\kappa a)h + h^2 - \left(\frac{4(5n+22)}{(n+8)^2}f_1(\kappa a) - \frac{4(n+2)}{(n+8)^2}f_2(\kappa a)\right)h^3 + O(h^4)$$

$$\gamma_{\phi^{2}} = \frac{n+2}{n+8}h - \frac{6(n+2)}{(n+8)^{2}} \left( f_{1}(\kappa a) - \frac{1}{3}f_{2}(\kappa a) \right) h^{2} + O(h^{3})$$

$$\gamma_{\phi} = \frac{2(n+2)}{(n+8)^2} f_2(\kappa a) h^2 + O(h^3)$$

where  $h=a_1(\kappa a)\lambda$ ,  $a_1$  being the coefficient of the quadratic term in the  $\beta$  function for  $\lambda$ , and  $\gamma_{\phi}$ ,  $\gamma_{\phi^2}$  are effective anomalous dimensions.  $\epsilon(\kappa a)=d-\kappa(\partial/\partial\kappa)\ln\left[\sum_l d_l(1+\lambda_l)^{-(1+d/2)}\right]$  with  $d_l=\left[(l+d-2)!/l!\right](2l+d-1)$  and  $\lambda_l=\frac{1}{6}[l(l+d-1)+d(d-1)]/\kappa^2a^2$  the degeneracies and eigenvalues of  $(\Delta+\frac{1}{6}R)/\kappa^2$  on  $S^d$ , respectively.  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are long and will be presented elsewhere [4]. For  $d\leqslant 2$ , N>1 and d< 2, N=1 there is a lower limit on  $\kappa$  corresponding to the fact that there is a maximum compton wavelength for particles in this geometry [2-3].  $\beta(h)$ ,  $\gamma_{\phi}$  and  $\gamma_{\phi^2}$  interpolate between those of  $R^4$  and  $R^{4-d}$  as  $m_a a$  ranges from  $\infty$  to 0. This can be interpreted as the decoupling of the infinite tower of modes arising from the compact  $S^d$ .  $\Gamma^{(N)}$  obeys finite size scaling in terms of the variable  $M_a a$ . For d=1 and all n, and d=2,  $n\leqslant 1$   $\beta(h)=0$  defines a floating fixed point which interpolates between the 4 and 4-d dimensional fixed points. The above results have applications to cosmological phase transitions and the structure of interacting quantum field theories in curved space (more details will be given in a later publication [4]).

## References

- [1] O'Connor D and Stephens C R 1991 Nucl. Phys. B 360 297
- [2] Hu B L and O'Connor D 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 1613
- [3] Hu B L and O'Connor D 1987 Phys. Rev. D 36 1701
- [4] O'Connor D and Stephens C R 1992 Renormalization group in curved spacetime and finite size scaling Utrecht/DIAS preprint

Finite

Finite te especiall One of (HTL) 1 scales be m(T =perturba such as PT is ill schemes quite sin theory w when Tdimensic RG inte qualitativ details). i.e. 4D, of freedo one requ HTL 3D normaliz

The con:

0264-9381/9