

Title	Superalgebraic Solution to the Mean-field Hubbard Model
Creators	Montorsi, Arianna and Rasetti, Mario and Solomon, Allan I.
Date	1988
Citation	Montorsi, Arianna and Rasetti, Mario and Solomon, Allan I. (1988) Superalgebraic Solution to the Mean-field Hubbard Model. (Preprint)
URL	https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/810/
DOI	DIAS-STP-88-46

SUPERALGEBRAIC SOLUTION TO THE MEAN-FIELD HUBBARD MODEL

Arianna Montorsi,

Mario Rasetti

and

Allan I Solomon

Dipartimento di Fisica del Politecnico, 10129 Torino, ITALY

and

Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University,
Milton Keynes, UK

SUPERALGEBRAIC SOLUTION TO THE MEAN-FIELD HUBBARD MODEL

Arianna Montorsi,

Mario Rasetti

and

Allan I Solomon

Dipartimento di Fisica del Politecnico, 10129 Torino, ITALY
and
Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK.

ABSTRACT

We show that a mean-field Hubbard hamiltonian possesses a dynamical superalgebra $u(1|1) \oplus u(1|1)$, enabling diagonalization to be achieved and the obtention of a nonvanishing magnetic order parameter.

A classic lattice problem, recently revived as a possible paradigm for high T_c superconductors, is the Hubbard model [1]. We shall consider the following Hubbard hamiltonian (in any number of dimensions)

$$H^{\text{Hub}} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} a_{i\sigma}^+ a_{j\sigma} \quad (1)$$

In the above, the number operator $n_{i\sigma}$ for electrons on the lattice site i with spin σ is defined by

$$n_{i\sigma} = a_{i\sigma}^+ a_{i\sigma}$$

where the creation (annihilation) operators $a_{i\sigma}^+$ ($a_{i\sigma}$) obey the usual anti-commutation relations for fermions. The sums are taken over site indices i, j - it being assumed that only nearest neighbours contribute to the hopping-term $t_{ij} = t$ - and spin $\sigma \equiv \uparrow$ or \downarrow .

The problem is trivially solvable either when $t_{ij} = 0$, so that H^{Hub} is already diagonal in the number operator, or when $u = 0$, for which the hamiltonian becomes $\sum_k \epsilon_k n_{k\sigma}$ ($\epsilon_k \equiv \epsilon + t \cos k$) on taking the momentum representation. However, no exact solution exists for lattice dimension greater than one when both u and t are non-zero.

In this note we make a fermionic mean-field approximation (which we shall define) to H^{Hub} for which the dynamical algebra is a superalgebra, thus enabling a solution of the approximate model to be given involving non-zero parameters ϵ , u and t .

Consider first the identity for the hopping term:

$$a_i^+ a_j \equiv (a_i^+ - \langle a_i^+ \rangle) (a_j - \langle a_j \rangle) + a_i^+ \langle a_j \rangle + \langle a_i^+ \rangle a_j - \langle a_i^+ \rangle \langle a_j \rangle \quad (2)$$

In (2), we may consider $\langle \rangle$ to be the expectation in some (thermodynamic) state. Now let us restrict ourselves to states such that the first term on the right-hand side of (2) is "negligible" in some sense. We may then approximate

$$a_i^+ a_j \sim a_i^+ \langle a_j \rangle + \langle a_i^+ \rangle a_j - \langle a_i^+ \rangle \langle a_j \rangle \quad (3)$$

[In (2) and (3) we have suppressed the spin index.] Note that this expression, in which necessarily $i \neq j$ so that a_i^+ and a_j anti-commute, is only consistent if the objects $\langle a_i^+ \rangle$, $\langle a_i^+ \rangle$ anti-commute with each other and with the operators a_i^+ , a_j . This may be achieved by defining a basis $\{e_i, e_j^*\}$ $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ for a 2^{2N} -dimensional Clifford algebra

$$\{e_i, e_j\} = \{e_i^*, e_j^*\} = 2\delta_{ij},$$

$$\{e_i, e_j^*\} = 0.$$

Using the fermionic mean field approximation (3) we may approximate the hamiltonian (1) by

$$H^{\text{MF}} = \sum_{i\sigma} \epsilon_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + \sum_{i\uparrow} u n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + \sum (\theta_{i\sigma} a_{i\sigma} + a_{i\sigma}^+ \theta_{i\sigma}^*) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\theta_{i\sigma} \equiv \sum_j t_{ji} e_j^*$$

Thus we have written H^{Mf} as a direct sum, $H^{Mf} \equiv \sum_i H_i$, where H_i is (dropping the suffix i)

$$H = \epsilon (n_+ + n_-) + u n_+ n_- + \theta_+ a_+ + \theta_- a_- + a_+^\dagger \theta_+^* + a_-^\dagger \theta_-^*. \quad (5)$$

The dynamical algebra of this model is generated by the set

$$\{n_+ n_-, n_+, n_-, a_+, a_-, a_+^\dagger, a_-^\dagger\}$$

of elements of H . The algebra is generated under the natural (i.e. physical) commutation and anti-commutation relations; it is the superalgebra $u(2|2)$ of the BCS-Umklapp model previously treated by the authors [2], together with the term $n_+ n_-$ characteristic of the Hubbard model. Assuming $\uparrow\downarrow$ symmetry in our model ($\epsilon_+ = \epsilon_- = \epsilon$, $\theta_+ = \theta_- = \theta/\sqrt{2}$) we obtain the Hamiltonian

$H = \epsilon N + \mu W + \theta A + A^\dagger \theta^*$ with smaller dynamical algebra $u(1|1) \oplus u(1|1)$, closing under the 8 elements

$$\{I, A, A^\dagger, B, B^\dagger, N, W, U\}$$

where $A \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_+ + a_-)$, $B \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (n_+ a_- + n_- a_+)$, $N = n_+ + n_-$, $W = n_+ n_-$,

and $U = \frac{1}{2} (n_+ + n_- - a_+^\dagger a_- - a_-^\dagger a_+)$.

Defining $Z^{(1)} = \lambda A + \mu B + \text{h.c.}$, where λ, μ are anti-commuting elements of the Clifford Algebra, we find that

$$\exp(i \text{ad } Z^{(1)})(H) = \epsilon N + \mu W + C \theta \theta^* U + D \theta \theta^*$$

where we have chosen $\lambda = i\theta/\epsilon$ $\mu = -iu\theta/\epsilon(u + \epsilon)$

with $D(\epsilon, u) = -\epsilon^{-1}$

and $C(\epsilon, u) = u/\epsilon(u + \epsilon)$.

Although H has thus been expressed in terms of mutually commuting elements (of a Cartan basis), these operators are not diagonal in Fock space. This is easily remedied by application of an outer automorphism

$Z^{(2)} = \exp\left(\text{ad } \frac{\pi}{4}\right) (a_+^\dagger a_- - a_-^\dagger a_+)$. The resulting diagonal hamiltonian is

$$H = \varepsilon(n_+ + n_-) + u n_+ n_- + C\theta\theta^* n_\pm + D\theta\bar{\theta}I$$

(where the n_\pm spontaneously generated term arises from ambiguity in the rotation $Z^{(2)}$). The coefficient $\theta\theta^*$ of this term plays the role of a magnetic order parameter; it has been evaluated self-consistently [3] and exhibits typical mean-field behaviour. This simple model does not exhibit pairing superconductivity, in that $\langle a_+ a_- \rangle = 0$.

References

- [1] Hubbard, J., Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 227, 237 (1964).
- [2] Montorsi, A., Rasetti, M., and Solomon, A. I., Phys Rev. Lett. 59, 2243 (1988).
- [3] Montorsi, A., Rasetti, M., and Solomon, A. I., (to be published).