

Title	Supersymmetry in a BCS—Umklapp Model
Creators	Montorsi, Arianna and Rasetti, Mario and Solomon, Allan I.
Date	1987
Citation	Montorsi, Arianna and Rasetti, Mario and Solomon, Allan I. (1987) Supersymmetry in a BCS—Umklapp Model. (Preprint)
URL	<a href="https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/829/">https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/829/</a>
DOI	DIAS-STP-87-50

**Supersymmetry in a BCS-Umklapp Model**

Arianna Montorsi  
Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Mario Rasetti  
The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey

Allan I Solomon  
Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK

Abstract

We consider an extension of the BCS model which includes umklapp processes, and give a condition such that this model be supersymmetric within an  $SU(2|2)$  algebra. We show that a mean field fermion reduction of the model is diagonalizable provided the same condition is satisfied.



## Supersymmetry in a BCS-Umklapp Model

Arianna Montorsi \*, Mario Rasetti †,\* and Allan I. Solomon \*\*,†,‡

\* Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

‡ The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey

\*\* Institute for Scientific Interchange, Torino, Italy

### ABSTRACT

We consider an extension of the BCS model which includes umklapp processes, and give a condition such that this model be supersymmetric within an  $su(2|2)$  algebra. We show that a mean field fermion reduction of the model is diagonalizable provided the same condition is satisfied.

A standard Lie algebraic approach <sup>[1]</sup> to a hamiltonian  $H$  of an interacting fermion system, where

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{k}} \langle \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} | V | \mathbf{k} \mathbf{l} \rangle a_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{j}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{l}} a_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\{a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}\} = 0 ; \{a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} ; \mathbf{k} \equiv (\mathbf{k}, \uparrow), -\mathbf{k} \equiv (-\mathbf{k}, \downarrow), \quad (2)$$

proceeds as follows.

i) By means of some *linearization* procedure, one reduces  $H$  to

$$H^{red} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{i}} a_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{i}} + \sum (\text{pairs of } a\text{'s}), \quad (3)$$

which is now an element of a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ .

ii) The spectrum is obtained by means of a generalized *Bogolubov transformation* which is an automorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\Phi(H^{red}) = \alpha_1 h_1 + \dots + \alpha_l h_l, \quad (4)$$

---

‡ Permanent address : Faculty of Mathematics, The Open University, Milton Keynes, UK

where the set  $\{h_1, \dots, h_l; e_1, \dots, e_{n-l}\}$  is a Cartan basis for the  $n$ -dimensional rank- $l$  Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*iii)* The Cartan elements  $\{h_1, \dots, h_l\}$  represent *observables which are conserved* in the high temperature phase, but no longer conserved in some low temperature phase.

*iv)* The remaining basis elements  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-l}\}$  represent *order operators* whose expectations  $\langle e_i \rangle$  give the relevant order parameters.

*v)* *Coherent states* [2] are obtained by the action of a unitary operator  $U$  which implements the automorphism  $\Phi$ ; e.g. the coherent state given by  $|\Omega\rangle = U^{-1} |\omega\rangle$  corresponds to the cyclic vector  $|\omega\rangle$  which is the vacuum for the diagonalized  $H^{red}$ .

We can implement the linearization procedure *i)* as follows. We consider the identity

$$AB = (A - \langle A \rangle)(B - \langle B \rangle) + \langle A \rangle B + A \langle B \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle, \quad (5)$$

where  $\langle \bullet \rangle$  is the expectation in some state. If the first term at the r.h.s. can be considered "small" in some sense, this linearizes to

$$AB \approx \langle A \rangle B + A \langle B \rangle - \langle A \rangle \langle B \rangle. \quad (6)$$

This approximation is consistent only in the following cases:

*a)*  $[A, B] = 0$ ;  $A$  and  $B$  are *bosonic*. This is the case, for example, of the standard mean field reduction of hamiltonian (1), where  $A = a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger$ ,  $A = a_{-j} a_j$ .

*b)*  $\{A, B\} = 0$ ;  $A$  and  $B$  are *fermionic*. Then  $AB = -BA$  requires that  $\vartheta_A = \langle A \rangle$  and  $\vartheta_B = \langle B \rangle$  be anticommuting numbers which anticommute as well with the operators  $A$  and  $B$ .

We exemplify this procedure by a generalization of the BCS model which includes umklapp processes.

From the interaction part of the hamiltonian (1) we retain only the following terms

1) *Cooper-pairing* terms (BCS):  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle i - i | V | j - j \rangle a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_{-j} a_j$ .

2) *Umklapp* terms (U):  $\frac{1}{2} \sum'_{i,j} \langle i j | V | -j -i \rangle a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_{-i} a_{-j}$ . These terms are permitted in a crystal where momentum needs only be conserved modulo a wave vector of the reciprocal lattice  $\mathbf{L}$  (the prime indicates this restriction on the summation).

Using the linearization procedure of case a), our reduced hamiltonian is now of the form  $H^{(1)} = \sum_i H_i^{(1)}$ , where

$$H_k^{(1)} = \varepsilon_k (a_k^\dagger a_k + a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k}) + (\Delta_k a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger + v_k a_k^\dagger a_{-k} + \text{h.c.}); \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \langle k - k | V | j - j \rangle \langle a_j a_{-j} \rangle; \quad (8)$$

$$v_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \langle k j | V | -j -k \rangle \langle a_j^\dagger a_{-j} \rangle. \quad (9)$$

The dynamical Lie algebra for this BCS-U model is  $\bigoplus_k (su(2) \oplus su(2))_k$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned} J_+^{(k)} &= (J_-^{(k)})^\dagger = a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger, \quad J_3^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} (a_k^\dagger a_k + a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k} - 1); \\ \tilde{J}_+^{(k)} &= (\tilde{J}_-^{(k)})^\dagger = a_k^\dagger a_{-k}, \quad \tilde{J}_3^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} (a_k^\dagger a_k - a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The spectrum is easily obtained by means of the Bogolubov transformation

$$H_k^{(1)} \mapsto \sqrt{\varepsilon_k^2 + |\Delta_k|^2} (a_k^\dagger a_k + a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k} - 1) + |v_k| (a_k^\dagger a_k - a_{-k}^\dagger a_{-k}), \quad (11)$$

and the coherent states follow as outlined above.

We now add fermionic operators to the BCS-U model, including the following additional umklapp terms,

$$3) \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{i,k} \langle i - i | V | k i \rangle a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_i a_k; \quad (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbf{L},$$

$$4) \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{i,k} \langle i - i | V | k - i \rangle a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_{-i} a_k; \quad (\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbf{L}.$$

We use the linearization procedure b) on these terms, so that, for example,

$$a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_i a_k \approx \langle a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_i \rangle a_k + a_i^\dagger a_{-i}^\dagger a_i \langle a_k \rangle$$

to obtain a new reduced hamiltonian  $H^{(2)} = \sum_k H_k^{(2)}$  of the form

$$H_k^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^6 b_i B_i + \sum_{j=0}^8 f_j F_j \in su(2|2) \quad (12)$$

where we suppressed the  $k$ -dependence on the r.h.s.. The operators  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  are the generators of the  $(su(2) \oplus su(2))_k$  algebra introduced above in (10); while the  $F_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 8$  are the fermionic operators

$$\{a_k, a_{-k}, a_k^\dagger, a_{-k}^\dagger, n_k a_{-k}, n_{-k} a_k, a_{-k}^\dagger n_k, a_k^\dagger n_{-k}\},$$

where  $n_k \equiv a_k^\dagger a_k$ . The set  $\{B_1, \dots, B_6; F_0, F_1, \dots, F_8\}$  (where  $F_0 \equiv \mathbf{I}$  was added) forms a basis for the superalgebra  $su(2|2)_k$ . The coefficients  $b_i, f_i$  are elements of the extension ring  $\mathbb{C}[\vartheta_\infty, \vartheta_\epsilon, \dots]$  generated by the  $\vartheta$ -terms, which are expectations of odd numbers of fermions arising from the linearization procedure *b*).

This model has been treated in ref.[3], where the finite-temperature self-consistency equations (which are independent of  $\vartheta$ ) were written down.

Within the context of the  $su(2|2)$  superalgebra, it was shown in ref.[3] that the hamiltonian  $H^{(1)}$  is *supersymmetric*; that is we may define a charge  $Q \in \mathcal{F}(\oplus_k su(2|2)_k)$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  denoting the fermionic sector) such that

$$H^{(1)} = \{Q, Q^\dagger\} \quad , \quad Q^2 = 0 \quad , \quad [H^{(1)}, Q] = 0 . \quad (13)$$

This is only possible when the coefficients in (7) satisfy the following condition

$$|v_k|^2 = |\Delta_k|^2 + \varepsilon_k^2 . \quad (14)$$

We now treat  $H^{(1)}$  by means of a *self-consistent mean-field Fermi reduction* using the linearization process *b*) on the interaction terms. This produces the following hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^F = & \varepsilon_k(n_k + n_{-k}) + \{\Delta_k(\langle a_k^\dagger \rangle a_{-k}^\dagger + a_k^\dagger \langle a_{-k} \rangle) \\ & + v_k(\langle a_k^\dagger \rangle a_{-k} + a_k^\dagger \langle a_{-k} \rangle) + \text{h.c.}\} . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_-^{(0)}(k) &= -\bar{\Delta}_k \langle a_k \rangle + v_k \langle a_k^\dagger \rangle , \\ \vartheta_+^{(0)}(k) &= \bar{\Delta}_k \langle a_{-k} \rangle + \bar{v}_k \langle a_{-k}^\dagger \rangle , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and write

$$a(\vartheta_\pm(k)) \equiv \vartheta_\pm(k) a_{\pm k} \quad ; \quad a^\dagger(\bar{\vartheta}_\pm(k)) \equiv a_{\pm k}^\dagger \bar{\vartheta}_\pm(k) = [a(\vartheta_\pm(k))]^\dagger . \quad (17)$$

With this notation the hamiltonian  $H_k^F$  becomes

$$H_k^F = \varepsilon_k(n_k + n_{-k}) + \{a(\vartheta_-^{(0)}(k)) + a(\vartheta_+^{(0)}(k)) + \text{h.c.}\} , \quad (18)$$

which is an element of a solvable SLA  $\mathfrak{A}_k \subset su(2|2)_k$ .

To diagonalize  $H^F$ , we consider the adjoint action  $\exp(\text{ad } iZ)$  of an element  $Z \in \mathfrak{A}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A} = \bigoplus_k \mathfrak{A}_k$ ,  $Z = \bigoplus_k Z_k$ , and

$$Z_k = \{a(\vartheta_+(k)) + a(\vartheta_-(k)) + \text{h.c.}\}. \quad (19)$$

The condition that  $\exp(\text{ad } iZ)(H^F) \equiv \mathcal{U}(\vartheta)H^F\mathcal{U}^{-1}(\vartheta)$  be free of non-diagonal terms is

$$\vartheta_{\pm}(k) = \frac{i}{\varepsilon_k} \vartheta_{\pm}^{(0)}(k). \quad (20)$$

We may evaluate the expectation of any operator  $\mathcal{O}$  in the *supercoherent state*  $|\tilde{\Omega}\rangle = \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\vartheta)|\tilde{\omega}\rangle$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Omega} | \mathcal{O} | \tilde{\Omega} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{\omega} | \mathcal{U}(\vartheta) \mathcal{O} \mathcal{U}^{-1}(\vartheta) | \tilde{\omega} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\omega} | \exp(i \text{ad} Z)(\mathcal{O}) | \tilde{\omega} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In particular, for the single-fermion operator expectation we have

$$\langle a(\vartheta_+(k)) \rangle = i\bar{\vartheta}_+(k)\vartheta_+(k), \text{ i.e. } \langle a_k \rangle = -i\bar{\vartheta}_+(k); \quad (22)$$

thus, using eq.(20),  $\langle a_k \rangle = -\bar{\vartheta}_+^{(0)}(k)/\varepsilon_k$ .

However, by definition (16),

$$\langle a_k \rangle = \frac{\Delta_k \vartheta_-^{(0)}(k) + v_k \bar{\vartheta}_-^{(0)}(k)}{|v_k|^2 - |\Delta_k|^2}.$$

We have similar equations for  $\langle a_{-k} \rangle$ ,  $\langle a_k^\dagger \rangle$ ,  $\langle a_{-k}^\dagger \rangle$ . We thus obtain four linear equations homogeneous in  $\vartheta_+^{(0)}(k)$ ,  $\vartheta_-^{(0)}(k)$ ,  $\bar{\vartheta}_+^{(0)}(k)$ ,  $\bar{\vartheta}_-^{(0)}(k)$ , leading to the determinantal condition

$$|v_k|^2 = |\Delta_k|^2 + \varepsilon_k^2, \quad (23)$$

which is the same as eq.(14) for the hamiltonian  $H^{(1)}$  to be supersymmetric.

The superalgebraic approach outlined in this note may be generalized to more complex interacting fermion systems. In an  $n$ -fermion problem defined by anticommuting operators  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^\dagger, \dots, a_n^\dagger\}$  the superalgebra generated by all possible combinations is  $su(2^{n-1}|2^{n-1})$  of dimension  $2^{2n} - 1$ . For example,



$n = 2$	BCS type (singlet) models	$\in$	$su(2 2)$	$dim = 15$
$n = 4$	Helium-3 type (triplet) models	$\in$	$su(8 8)$	$dim = 255$
$n = 8$	Superconducting density wave models	$\in$	$su(128 128)$	$dim = 65535$

Purely Lie-algebraic treatments of the  $n = 4$  and  $n = 8$  cases are given in refs.[1] and [4] respectively. The rapid growth of the dimension in the superalgebraic case indicates an increasing complexity of structure; some analysis of the  $n = 4$  case has already been made [5].

### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge helpful conversations with Louis Michel (*IHES*) and Daniel Mattis (*U. Utah*).

### References

- [1] A.I. Solomon, *J. Phys. A : Math. Gen.* **14**, 2177 (1981)
- [2] M. Rasetti, *Intl. J. Theor. Phys.* **13**, 425 (1975)
- [3] A. Montorsi, M. Rasetti and A.I. Solomon, *Phys. Rev. Letters* (1987); *in press*
- [4] A.I. Solomon and J.L. Birman, *J. Math. Phys.* **28**, 1526 (1987)
- [5] A. Montorsi, *Thesis*, University of Torino, 1986; *unpublished*.