DIAS-STP-83-20

ON SU(3) MONOPOLES IN THE YANG R-GAUGE

D.H. Tchrakian

St. Patrick's College, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland

and

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies.

ABSTRACT: The self-duality equations for the static SU(3) Yang-Mills-Higgs system in the R-gauge has been reduced to a set of coupled ordinary differential equations, by means of a one function Ansatz. The SU(2) embedding solutions are recovered.

The Yang R-gauge[1] has proved to be a very useful tool in the search for exact solutions to the Yang-Mills field equations[2]. As it happens, the method of the R-gauge turns out to be more useful in the case of static monopole solutions to the Yang-Mills-Higgs system, than it is for the pure Yang-Mills, and is implicit in Ward's[3] construction of SU(2) monopole solutions. Subsequently, Prasad[4] has highlighted the role of the R-gauge in the construction of SU(2) multimonopoles.

It is therefore natural to ask whether the Yang R-gauge plays a similarly useful rôle in the search for SU(3) self-dual monopoles. It is this task that we address ourselves to in the present article. Recently, Ward[5] has found a class of SU(3) monopole solutions without recourse to the R-gauge method.

In a recent paper[6], the self-dual Yang-Mills potentials were parametrised in terms of two real, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and three pairs of complex, $\rho_1, \bar\rho_1$, $\rho_2, \bar\rho_2, \rho_3, \bar\rho_3$, functions of the complex variables $y, \bar y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 \pm i x_2)$; $z, \bar z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_3 \pm i x_4)$. These potentials in the R-gauge will have real values for their Cartesian components for real values of x_μ $(\mu = 1-4)$, provided that the following conditions are satisfied

$$\bar\rho_i \doteq \rho_i^* \quad , \quad i = 1,2,3 , \tag{1}$$

with the notation of Ref.(1) for $\doteq$.

Here we seek solutions to the self-duality equations (10a,b),(11a,b), (12),(13),(14) and (15) of ref.(6), satisfying (a) a one function Ansatz, and (b) boundary conditions suitable for a monopole solution.

(a) We start with the assumption that each of the above named functions that parametrise the SU(3) Yang-Mills potentials, depend on $y, \bar y, z, \bar z$ through the <u>single</u> function $f(y, \bar y, z, \bar z)$, which is subject to

$$f_{y\bar y} + f_{z\bar z} = 0 . \tag{2}$$

Our Ansatz is then stated as follows

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \bar\rho_{2\bar y} - \bar\rho\,\bar\rho_{1\bar y} &= \theta(f) f_z \\ \bar\rho_{2\bar z} - \bar\rho\,\bar\rho_{1\bar z} &= -\theta(f) f_y \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (3a) \qquad \left.\begin{aligned} \rho_{2y} - \rho\,\rho_{1y} &= \bar\theta(f) f_{\bar z} \\ \rho_{2z} - \rho\,\rho_{1z} &= -\bar\theta(f) f_{\bar y} , \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (3b)$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \bar\rho_{1\bar y} &= \psi(f) f_z \\ \bar\rho_{1\bar z} &= -\psi(f) f_y \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4a) \qquad \left.\begin{aligned} \rho_{1y} &= \bar\psi(f) f_{\bar z} \\ \rho_{1z} &= -\bar\psi(f) f_{\bar y} , \end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4b)$$

where $\rho = \frac{\rho_3}{\phi_1}$, $\bar\rho = \frac{\bar\rho_3}{\phi_1}$, and, $\theta, \bar\theta, \psi, \bar\psi$ are some functions of f, as yet to be determined, and satisfy, due to condition (1), the following

$$\bar{\theta}(\xi) \doteq \theta^{*}(\xi) \quad , \quad \bar{\psi}(\xi) \doteq \psi^{*}(\xi) . \tag{1'a,b}$$

It is immediately seen that (3a,b) and (4a,b) solve, respectively, equations (10a,b) and (11a,b) of ref.(6).

Denoting the derivatives of all functions of ξ with respect to ξ as $\dot{\phi}_1 = \frac{d\phi_1}{d\xi}$, $\dot{\rho}_1 = \frac{d\rho_1}{d\xi}$, etc., we write down the consequences of our one-function Ansatz. First we learn from (3a,b),(4a,b) and (2) that $\psi = c$ and $\bar{\psi} = \bar{c}$, where c and $\bar{c}$ are constants, conjugate complex to each other, and that

$$\dot{\theta} + c\dot{\bar{\rho}} = 0 \quad , \quad \dot{\bar{\theta}} + \bar{c}\dot{\rho} = 0 . \tag{5a,b}$$

It then follows from our Ansatz, that the remaining self-duality equations, namely (12)-(15) of ref.(6), reduce to the following set of coupled non-linear ordinary differential equation in the variable ξ :

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \ln \phi_1 + \frac{|c|^2}{\phi_1^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\theta|^2}{\phi_2^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 \frac{|\dot{\theta}|^2}{|c|^2} = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \ln \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{|c|^2}{\phi_1^2} + \frac{|\theta|^2}{\phi_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 \frac{|\dot{\theta}|^2}{|c|^2} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\ddot{\theta} + \dot{\theta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 - \frac{c}{\phi_1^2} \theta = 0 \tag{8a}$$

$$\ddot{\bar{\theta}} + \dot{\bar{\theta}} \frac{d}{d\xi} \ln \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 - \frac{\bar{c}}{\phi_1^2} \bar{\theta} = 0 . \tag{8b}$$

A solution to these equations would yield the functions $\phi_1, \phi_2, \theta, \bar{\theta}$ in terms of ξ . The last step in this systematic procedure would be the integration, with respect to $y, \bar{y}, z, \bar{z}$, of (3a,b),(4a,b) and (5a,b) to yield $\rho_1, \bar{\rho}_1$, $\rho_2, \bar{\rho}_2$ and $\rho_3, \bar{\rho}_3$, respectively.

(b) Next, we must make sure that the integration of (6)-(8) should give rise to solutions that exhibit the appropriate behaviour for a monopole solution. This requirement will impose further conditions that the solutions must satisfy, which are given below.

Following the procedures of refs.(3)(4), we perform the dimensional reduction leading to the static(x_4-indepenent) Yang-Mills-Higgs system with the fourth component of the potential identified as the Higgs field Φ . This we do by attributing the following explicit x_4-dependences to the R-gauge parameters

$$\phi_1(x) = \mathring{\phi}_1(\vec{x})\, e^{i\lambda_1 x_4}\,, \quad \rho_1(x) = \mathring{\rho}_1(\vec{x})\, e^{i\lambda_1 x_4}\,, \quad \bar{\rho}_1(x) = \mathring{\bar{\rho}}_1(\vec{x})\, e^{i\lambda_1 x_4} \tag{9}$$

$$\phi_2(x) = \mathring{\phi}_2(\vec{x})\, e^{i\lambda_2 x_4}\,, \quad \rho_2(x) = \mathring{\rho}_2(\vec{x})\, e^{i\lambda_2 x_4}\,, \quad \bar{\rho}_2(x) = \underline{\mathring{\bar{\rho}}_2(\vec{x})}\, e^{i\lambda_2 x_4}\,, \quad \rho_3(x) = \underline{\mathring{\rho}_3(\vec{x})}\, e^{i\lambda_2 x_4}\,, \quad \bar{\rho}_3(x) = \underline{\mathring{\bar{\rho}}_3(\vec{x})}\, e^{i\lambda_2 x_4} \tag{10}$$

which result into X_4-independent potentials A_μ, c.f. eqs.(6a,b) of ref.(6). It is then possible to express the square of the magnitude of the Higgs field in terms of the derivatives with respect to y, $\bar{y}$, z, $\bar{z}$ of the R-gauge parameters:

$$\|\Phi\|^2 = \frac{2}{3}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_2) + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\phi_{1z}}{\phi_1}\frac{\phi_{1\bar{z}}}{\phi_1} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\phi_{2z}}{\phi_2}\frac{\phi_{2\bar{z}}}{\phi_2} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\phi_{1z}}{\phi_1}\frac{\phi_{2\bar{z}}}{\phi_2} - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\phi_{2z}}{\phi_2}\frac{\phi_{1\bar{z}}}{\phi_1}$$

$$+ \frac{\rho_{1z}\bar{\rho}_{1\bar{z}}}{\phi_1^2} + \frac{(\rho_{2z} - \rho\rho_{1z})(\bar{\rho}_{2\bar{z}} - \bar{\rho}\bar{\rho}_{1\bar{z}})}{\phi_2^2} + \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 \rho_z \bar{\rho}_{\bar{z}}\,. \tag{11}$$

We are now in a position to impose the behaviour required of $\|\Phi\|^2$ suitable for a monopole solution:

$$\|\Phi\|^2 = \text{const.} - \mu\, \Box \ln f\,, \tag{12}$$

where the coefficient of the $\frac{1}{r}$ term in the expansion of $\|\Phi\|^2$ is related to the topological charge of the solution.[3]

From (11) and (12) then follow the two additional conditions to be satisfied by the solutions of (6)-(8):

$$\frac{4}{3}\left[\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{\phi_1}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{\phi_2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{\phi_1}\right)\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_2}{\phi_2}\right)\right] + \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)^2 \frac{|\dot{\theta}|^2}{|c|^2} = \frac{\mu}{f^2} \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{|c|^2}{\phi_1^2} + \frac{|\theta|^2}{\phi_2^2} = \frac{\mu}{f^2}\,. \tag{14}$$

Finally, since we are interested in the static solutions of the Yang-Mills-Higgs system, we must determine the explicit X_4-dependence of the function f in terms of which all the R-gauge parameters are expressed. For this we must first integrate (6)-(8) with respect to ξ, but this we have not yet done. Fortunately however, substituting (14) into (6) and (7) and adding leads, after integration, to

$$\phi_1\phi_2 = f^{3\mu/2} \tag{15}$$

according to which it follows that the X_4-dependence of f is

$$f(x_\mu) = \underline{\mathring{f}(\vec{x})}\; e^{\frac{2}{3}i\left(\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}{\mu}\right)x_4} = \underline{\mathring{f}(\vec{x})}\; e^{i\lambda x_4}\,. \tag{16}$$

It then follows from (2) that $\mathring{f}$ satisfies the Helmholz equation with a spherically symmetric solution

$$\underline{\mathring{f}(\vec{x})} = \frac{\sinh \lambda r}{r} \;. \tag{17}$$

In an attempt to integrate equations (6)-(8) completely, we eliminate ϕ_2 from (6) by substituting for ϕ_2 from (15), which gives

$$\frac{\ddot{\phi}_1}{\phi_1} + \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{\phi_1}\right)^2 - \frac{3\mu}{f}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_1}{\phi_1}\right) + \frac{3\mu^2}{2f^2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{|c|^2}{\phi_1^2} = 0 \;. \tag{18}$$

Denoting $g = \phi_1^2/|c|^2$, (18) can be put into the form

$$f^2\ddot{g} - 2\mu f\dot{g} + 3\mu^2 g = -f^2 \tag{18'}$$

which is an equation of Euler type with particular integral

$$g = \alpha f^2 \quad ; \quad \alpha^{-1} = -2+6\mu-3\mu^2 \,, \tag{19}$$

and whose homogeneous part has general solution

$$g = A f^{n_1} + B f^{n_2} \tag{19'}$$

with A and B integration constants, and n_1 and n_2 the roots of

$$n^2 - (1+3\mu)n + 3\mu^2 = 0 \tag{20}$$

The general solution for ϕ_1 is then

$$\phi_1 = |c|\sqrt{Af^{n_1} + Bf^{n_2} + \alpha f^2} \;. \tag{21}$$

Having found ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, it is now in principle possible to solve the linear second order homogeneous equations for θ and $\bar{\theta}$ in terms of f. Then the two constants arising from this last integration, along with A, B and α will have to be chosen so that (13) and (14) are also satisfied.

This is a rather complicated task and we have only succeeded in recovering SU(2) embedding solutions and one wich violates the reality condition (5). We present these below.

(i) $\theta = a \quad \bar{\theta} = \bar{a}$, a and $\bar{a} = a^*$ constants. Then (8) lead to $\theta = \bar{\theta} = 0$, and (14) leads to $\phi_1 = \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{\mu}} f$. But eqs. (6) and (7) give $\phi_1 = f^{\mu}$ and $\phi_2 = f^{\frac{1}{2}\mu}$, and therefore the only choice for μ is $\mu=1$. This solution automatically satisfies (13).

Then, from (5) it follows that ρ and $\bar{\rho}$ are constants and hence this solution only involves the parameters ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and $\rho_1, \bar{\rho}_1$.

We now insert the parameters of this solution into the Higgs field

$$i\sqrt{2}\, A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\partial_3 \ln \phi_1\phi_2 & \bar{\rho}_{1\bar{z}}/\phi_1 & (\bar{\rho}_{2\bar{z}} - \bar{\rho}\bar{\rho}_{1\bar{z}})/\phi_2 \\ \rho_{1z}/\phi_1 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\partial_3 \ln \phi_2\phi_1^{-2} & \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)\bar{\rho}_{\bar{z}} \\ (\rho_{2z} - \rho\rho_{1z})/\phi_2 & \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2}\right)\rho_z & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\partial_3 \ln \phi_1\phi_2^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (22)$$

which then reduces to
$$A_4 = i\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 \ln f & \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_y \ln f & 0 \\ \frac{\bar{c}}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_{\bar{y}} \ln f & -\frac{1}{2}\partial_3 \ln f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

clearly, an SU(2) embedding.

(ii) $\psi = 0$, $\bar{\psi} = 0$, implying through (5) that $\theta = k$, $\bar{\theta} = \bar{k} = k^*$ =const. Then (14) leads to $\phi_2 = \frac{|k|}{\mu} f$,and, from (6)and (7) it follows that $\phi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{|k|} f^{\frac{3\mu}{2}-1}$. On the other hand (8a,b) imply $\dot{\rho} = \ell\left(\frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1}\right)^2$, $\dot{\bar{\rho}} = \bar{\ell}\left(\frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1}\right)^2$ where ℓ , $\bar{\ell}$ are constants, conjugate complex to each other. Substituting these for $|\dot{\rho}|^2$ into(6) or (7) leads to one of the two restrictions:

1. μ =2, which is a non-SU(2) embedding solution as seen from (22). But this solution is subject to the final condition $|k|^2|\ell|^2 + 2 = 0$, which violates the reality condition (5) and hence is not interesting.
2. μ =1 and $|k|=0$ and therefore $|\dot{\rho}|=0$. Condition (13) is automatically satified (as in 1. above). In this case the potenials in the R-gauge are parametrised by ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ρ_2 , $\bar{\rho}_2$. Inserting these into (22) yields

$$A_4 = i\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\partial_3 \ln f & 0 & \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_y \ln f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\bar{c}}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_{\bar{y}} \ln f & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}\partial_3 \ln f \end{bmatrix}$$

which is obviously another SU(2) embedding.

It appears therefore that neither θ nor ψ can vanish if we wish to find a non-SU(2) embedding solution. In this case the expressions become very complicated, and simple solutions do not seem to work. For example, if we considered the special case of (21)

$$\phi_1 = |c|\sqrt{\alpha}\, f \quad , \quad A = B = 0 , \qquad (21')$$

the solutions of (8a,b) are

$$\theta = f^{\frac{3}{2}(\mu-1)}\left[A' f^{n} + B' f^{-n}\right] \tag{23a}$$

$$\bar{\theta} = f^{\frac{3}{2}(\mu-1)}\left[\bar{A}' f^{n} + \bar{B}' f^{-n}\right] , \quad n = \sqrt{1+6\mu-3\mu^2} , \tag{23b}$$

and consistency with (14) leads to the $B' = \bar{B}' = 0$; $\mu = 1 \pm (2/\sqrt{3})$ and $|c|^2 A'\bar{A}' + 6(2 \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) = 0$. This last condition cannot be satisfied without violating the reality conditions (1).

The usefulness of the Yang R-gauge method in the search for SU(3) monopole solutions depends on being able to integrate the equations (6)-(8) without violating the reality conditions. So far we have only succeeded in recovering the two SU(2) embedding solutions.

References

(1) C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1377 (1977)

(2) E.F. Corrigan, D.B. Fairlie, P. Goddard and R.G. Yates, Commun. Math. Phys. 58, 223 (1978)

(3) R.S. Ward, Commun. Math. Phys. 79, 317 (1981)

(4) M.K. Prasad, Commun. Math. Phys. 80, 137 (1981)

(5) R.S. Ward, Magnetic Monopoles with Gauge Group SU(3) Broken to U(2), TCD preprint

(6) L.P. Singh and D.H. Tchrakian, Phys.Lett. B104, 463(1981)