

Title	Matrix Solution of a Diffusion Equation for Dielectric Relaxation
Creators	McConnell, J.
Date	1976
Citation	McConnell, J. (1976) Matrix Solution of a Diffusion Equation for Dielectric Relaxation. (Preprint)
URL	<a href="https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/966/">https://dair.dias.ie/id/eprint/966/</a>
DOI	DIAS-TP-76-09

Matrix Solution of a Diffusion Equation  
for Dielectric Relaxation

J. McConnell

School of Theoretical Physics,  
Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies,  
Dublin 4, Ireland.

---

Sack's diffusion equation calculations of relaxation effects in dielectrics based on a spherical model are simplified. The steady state response to an alternating field can be easily expressed as a series in powers of a dimensionless parameter by inverting a matrix.

Sack [1] investigated the rotational Brownian motion of a sphere with moment of inertia  $I$  and dipole moment  $\mu$ , which is subject to a frictional couple  $I\beta$  times the angular velocity and an electric field  $F$  in a fixed direction by writing down a diffusion equation for the probability density  $w$  in configuration-angular velocity space. He then put

$$\Psi = \exp(kT \sum_l u_l^2 / 2I) \iiint w(\theta, v_\theta, v_\phi, v_z, t) \exp(-i \sum_l u_l v_l) dv_\theta dv_\phi dv_z,$$

$\theta$  being the angle between the directions of the dipole axis and  $F$ , and deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + i \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \theta \partial u_\theta} - \frac{i u_\theta}{I} [kT \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} + \mu F \sin \theta \Psi] \\ + i [\omega t \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial u_\phi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_z} - \frac{kT}{I} (\omega t \theta u_\phi - u_z)] (u_\phi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_\theta} - u_\theta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_\phi}) = -\beta \sum_l u_l \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial u_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The subsequent calculations required for the study of relaxation effects in dielectrics may be considerably reduced by writing in the linear approximation for the steady state response to a field  $F = F_0 e^{i\omega t}$

$$\begin{aligned} g \pi^2 \Psi = 1 + e^{i\omega t} \left\{ \cos \theta \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} A_r (kT \sum_l u_l^2 / 2I)^r + \sin \theta u_\theta \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} B_r (kT \sum_l u_l^2 / 2I)^r \right. \\ \left. + (\cos \theta u_z^2 + \sin \theta u_z u_\phi) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r (kT \sum_l u_l^2 / 2I)^r + \dots \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and employing matrix methods for the solution of the equations for  $A_r, B_r, C_r$  that result from substituting (2) into (1). When  $A_r$  and  $C_r$  are eliminated, it is found that

$$(L + \gamma M) \begin{pmatrix} B_0 \\ B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i \mu F_0}{\beta I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} (1) & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & (3) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & (5) & 0 \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{(0)} + \frac{3}{(2)} & -\frac{1.5}{(2)} & 0 & \dots \\ -\frac{2}{(2)} & \frac{4}{(2)} + \frac{5}{(4)} & -\frac{2.7}{(4)} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{(4)} & \frac{6}{(4)} + \frac{7}{(6)} & -\frac{3.9}{(6)} \\ \dots & 0 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and we have written

$$\frac{kT}{I\beta^2} = \gamma, \quad \frac{i\omega}{\beta} = (0), \quad 1 + \frac{i\omega}{\beta} = (1), \quad 2 + \frac{i\omega}{\beta} = (2), \text{ etc.}$$

If  $P^*(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$  is the polarization of the sphere due to the field  $F_0 e^{i\omega t}$  and  $P_0$  is the polarization due to the constant field  $F_0$ , it may be shown that

$$\frac{P^*(\omega)}{P_0} = - \frac{2B_0 kT}{\mu F_0 \omega} = \frac{2\beta\gamma}{i\omega} \left( (L + \gamma M)^{-1} \right)_{00},$$

by (3). On expanding the matrix as a series in powers of the dimensionless  $\gamma$  one gets

$$\frac{P^*(\omega)}{P_0} = \frac{2\beta\gamma}{i\omega} \left\{ L_{00}^{-1} - \gamma (L^{-1} M L^{-1})_{00} + \gamma^2 (L^{-1} M L^{-1} M L^{-1})_{00} - \gamma^3 (L^{-1} M L^{-1} M L^{-1} M L^{-1})_{00} + \dots \right\} \quad (4)$$

Since  $L^{-1}$  is a diagonal matrix, the evaluation of the terms in the bracket is not difficult; it is in fact very much easier than finding the expansion in powers of  $\gamma$  for the continued fraction of Sack. The following is the explicit expression for (4) as far as the  $\gamma^5$  - terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{P(\omega)}{P_0} &= \frac{2\gamma}{(0)(1)} - \frac{2\gamma^2}{(0)(1)^2} \left\{ \frac{2}{(0)} + \frac{3}{(2)} \right\} + \frac{2\gamma^3}{(0)(1)^2} \left\{ \frac{4}{(0)^2(1)} + \frac{12}{(0)(1)(2)} + \frac{9}{(1)(2)^2} + \frac{10}{(2)^2(3)} \right\} \\
 &- \frac{2\gamma^4}{(0)(1)^2} \left\{ \frac{8}{(0)^3(1)^2} + \frac{36}{(0)^2(1)^2(2)} + \frac{54}{(0)(1)^2(2)^2} + \frac{40}{(0)(1)(2)^2(3)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{27}{(1)^2(2)^3} + \frac{60}{(1)(2)^3(3)} + \frac{40}{(2)^3(3)^2} + \frac{50}{(2)^2(3)^2(4)} \right\} \\
 &+ \frac{2\gamma^5}{(0)(1)^2} \left\{ \frac{16}{(0)^4(1)^3} + \frac{96}{(0)^3(1)^3(2)} + \frac{216}{(0)^2(1)^3(2)^2} + \frac{120}{(0)^2(1)^2(2)^2(3)} \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{216}{(0)(1)^3(2)^3} + \frac{360}{(0)(1)^2(2)^3(3)} + \frac{160}{(0)(1)(2)^3(3)^2} + \frac{200}{(0)(1)(2)^2(3)^2(4)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{81}{(1)^3(2)^4} + \frac{270}{(1)^2(2)^4(3)} + \frac{340}{(1)(2)^4(3)^2} + \frac{300}{(1)(2)^3(3)^2(4)} \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{160}{(2)^4(3)^3} + \frac{400}{(2)^3(3)^3(4)} + \frac{250}{(2)^2(3)^3(4)^2} + \frac{280}{(2)^2(3)^2(4)^2(5)} \right\} \\
 &\dots
 \end{aligned}$$

A recent calculation based on a Langevin equation [2] produced the above series as far as the terms of order  $\gamma^4$ .

A more extensive account of the above investigations will be submitted elsewhere for publication.

#### References

- [1] R. A. Sack "Relaxation Processes and Inertial Effects II. Free Rotation in Space", Proc. Phys. Soc. B70, 414-426, 1957.
- [2] G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis and J. McConnell "Graphical Study of Rotational Brownian Motion", Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies preprint No. DIAS-TP-75-52.